

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/894,821	06/28/2001	Jonathan W. Haines	S01.12-0711/STL 9608	4141		
7	590 07/23/2004		EXAM	INER		
Joseph R. Kelly			PORTKA	PORTKA, GARY J		
WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY International Centre - Suite 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
900 South Second Avenue			2188			
Minneapolis, 1	MN 55402-3319		DATE MAILED: 07/23/200	DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/894,821	HAINES ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary J Portka	2188	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire leading ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 12 as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 12 as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing. FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr unt of the fee. The appr originally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelinNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •		and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 3-8 and 11-19.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,9,10, and 20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b)□ disapproved by tl	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		1 -	0
BEST AVAILABLE	COPY	Gary J Portka Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2188	the

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument regarding Berning that "streamed through the buffer" is not the same as "sequential data consecutively accessed in the buffer" is not correct, streaming of data through a buffer by definition involves a serial, first-in first-out access to the buffer (consecutively accessed) and although consecutively accessed data is by definition sequential, Berning has stated that this streaming is with regard to sequential data. It is noted that the claim language may be interpreted as "(traverse sequentially) (mapped entries)" or as "traverse (sequentially mapped entries)". The first interpretation is readable on the teaching of streaming data through the buffer without regard to the manner of mapping; the second is readable on the teaching of accessing a buffer in any manner to transfer consecutive logical, and therefore sequentially mapped, addresses. It is further noted that as previously stated Krantz teaches all the claim limitations including to traverse buffer entries, but not to "traverse sequentially mapped entries", and therefore only the teachings of Berning under either interpretation described are required to be added to Krantz.